

there are fewer community banks. They are getting gobbled up by the guys who caused the problems. That is what Dodd-Frank did.

It added so much expense and burden on the local banks, and it provided a lot of benefits to the biggest banks. They are the ones that could absorb the parts of the law. We are losing banks constantly.

As far as the great economic news, we know we have at least 93-plus million people for the first time in our history—94 million people, maybe, now—who have given up looking for jobs. It has never gotten that high before.

It had gotten close once before, I think, under Carter, but it has never gotten this high before. People have just given up looking for jobs. You have got more on food stamps than ever before. Is that really something to be proud of? It is if you want indentured servitude of the people of the United States.

The middle class, we hear now recently, is growing smaller. The gap between the ultra rich and the poor is growing bigger under this President's redistribution model because it doesn't work.

The most troubling economic statistic that anybody should have been seeing over the last few years—over the last 2 years—came out in 2013, that, under President Obama, for the first time in American history—ever—95 percent of all of the income went to the top 1 percent income earners.

It still bothers me greatly. But I read, actually, that, even though the top 1 percent is making 95 percent of all the income, it was a slower growth to them than in the last two expansions.

So it really was not that great of news for them. Well, it isn't great for America when 95 percent of the income is made by the top 1 percent.

It is just this wink and nod with Wall Street from this administration of: We are going to call you fat cats. We are going to punish you. We are going to hit you with Dodd-Frank.

And what happens? You kill the smaller banks. You hurt the middle class. You overburden the middle class. You make it more difficult for them to live. More people end up on food stamps. It is a disaster.

That is why it was no surprise in the last couple of days when we saw a report that there is a great majority of Americans who feels like this President has hurt the economy more than he has helped it. I don't know that that is true, but I do know that more people, according to the poll, are saying that.

Capital markets and Wall Street, oh, they have done well. Yes, that is what happens when we create more money than at any time in American history. We are creating money.

Notice, Mr. Speaker, I am saying "creating money" because I learned it was improper to say we are printing more money than ever before.

I was told by someone with the Fed—some years back when I asked: "How much more money are we printing than we have ever printed?"—"Oh, none, really."

"But there is more money in the system."

"Oh, yes. We couldn't possibly print all of the money we are creating."

Are you kidding me? We are just adding numbers. We aren't even bothering to print it anymore as we are increasing money so fast. It is an outrage what has happened.

The bottom line is Americans are suffering. Government does not make things better. It is better when they get a job, not more food stamps.

It is time that we knock Dodd-Frank down to size where it does deal with the investment banks that caused the problem of 2008 and doesn't punish the banks that didn't get us in that trouble.

In the time I have left, I have just got to go back to this horrendous Iranian deal. It is putting the United States and all freedom-loving people at risk.

Iran cannot be trusted, and I am still concerned about the language, like, if you say in a bill or in the Iran agreement, oh, yes, you can't use ICBMs or develop them for 8 years or, at the broader conclusion of the IAEAs, that nuclear material is being used for peaceful purposes, whichever is earlier.

□ 1815

That concerns me about the 8-year requirement. Is it really an 8-year requirement, seriously? I mean, what does that mean? I went down and cleared that that was not classified, so I could speak of that. There are a few places where I have seen that that language, the broader conclusion by the IAEA, holy cow, that is completely out of our control. That is one of the time deadlines that some of the important timing can be?

Iran continues to make clear, as this story from July 12 from Adam Kredo says, that Iran is saying, "We will trample upon America."

"Iranian cleric Ayatollah Mohammad Ali Movahedi Kermani, who was hand-picked by the Islamic Republic's supreme leader to deliver the prayers, delivered a message of hostility toward the United States in the first official remarks since a final nuclear deal was signed between Iran and world powers in Vienna last week."

"Analysts who spoke to the Washington Free Beacon about the anti-American tone of last week's prayers said it is a sign Tehran believes it bested the United States in the talks."

You think?

The article further down says: "Iran's defense minister on Monday said the deal also will prohibit all foreigners from inspecting Iran's 'defensive and missile capabilities' at sensitive military sites."

You don't have to have my SAT scores to know they are going to be

classifying as many sites as they can as defensive sites that we cannot have inspected.

It is time to say "no" to the deal. Americans need to rise up and demand it, and let's crush the Iranian deal before Iran crushes Israel and the Great Satan, United States.

I yield back the balance of my time.

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. CLAWSON of Florida (at the request of Mr. MCCARTHY) for today on account of a family emergency.

#### EXPENDITURES BY THE OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL UNDER HOUSE RESOLUTION 676, 113TH CONGRESS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON HOUSE ADMINISTRATION,

Washington, DC, July 23, 2015.

Hon. JOHN A. BOEHNER,  
Speaker, House of Representatives,  
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER, Pursuant to section 3(b) of H. Res. 676 of the 113th Congress, as continued by section 3(f)(2) of H. Res. 5 of the 114th Congress, I write with the following enclosure which is a statement of the aggregate amount expended on outside counsel and other experts on any civil action authorized by H. Res. 676.

Sincerely,

CANDICE S. MILLER, *Chairman,*  
*Committee on House Administration.*

#### AGGREGATE AMOUNT EXPENDED ON OUTSIDE COUNSEL OR OTHER EXPERTS—H. RES. 676

July 1–September 30 2014 .....	
October 1–December 31, 2014 .....	\$42,875.00
January 1–March 31, 2015 .....	50,000.00
April 1, 2015–June 30, 2015 .....	29,915.00
Total .....	122,790.00

#### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 6 o'clock and 19 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Monday, July 27, 2015, at noon for morning-hour debate.

#### EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

2271. A letter from the Acting Under Secretary, Personnel and Readiness, Department of Defense, transmitting notification that the Department intends to assign women to certain previously closed positions in the Army, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 652; to the Committee on Armed Services.

2272. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's direct final rule — Air Plan Approval; MI, Belding; 2008 Lead Clean Data Determination [EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0407; FRL-9930-